

Philip Dagnall

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 September 2018 16:03
To: pmods22
Subject: Planning consultation

MM13

To whom it may concern;

I note with concern that Clitheroe and more specifically the Highmoor/Standen area is being targeted for more new housing, this time another 100 at Highmoor. I have also read through various minutes, agendas and notes from planning meetings earlier this year to get a feel for why this is considered, on top of the already huge number of houses at Standen, to be acceptable.

All I can find contained within are a lot of numbers. 5%-20% buffers, 100 houses, 30 houses, slippage rates etc. Absolutely nowhere in any of it does anybody give any thought to the continued impact this has on residents of the town. No mention of people, just numbers.

There's lots of talk of 'sustainability' but this must have a different meaning in planning circles. The word has connotations of being well managed, not over burdened, future proof. The planning definition is clearly not the type of sustainability that means there are adequate secondary school places in town for all the extra children these houses will contain (thereby creating more traffic on already busy roads twice a day as they travel out of town to school). Clearly none of the councillors have to try and park in Clitheroe on a Saturday now, what that will be like with all the extra housing is fairly easy to guess but it's considered a good idea to sell off car parks (behind Lidl) and lose more spaces by moving the market (another ridiculous decision forced on the town that nobody who actually lives there seems to want!).

In short surely it's somebody else's turn and a sensible, 'sustainable' approach to this would be for the town planners to actually plan the town rather than comply with a numbers game driven by central government and pushed on by councillors from out of the area happy the houses aren't on their patch.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone