

Philip Dagnall

MM80

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 September 2018 14:35  
**To:** pmods22  
**Subject:** Consultation.

Dear Sirs

After looking through the Regulation 22 HED DPD proposed main modifications additional housing allocations document I would like to add my support to the RVBC Planning and Development Committees selection of proposed sites. If future allocations sites for housing are required then the main principle settlements of Clitheroe and Longridge must take the extra allocations. It is only these principle settlements that have the infrastructure in place to deal with extra housing figures which go way beyond the housing requirement for the borough which is identified in the Core Strategy (2018-2028). Rural villages in the Ribble Valley which have in the main reached their residual housing targets for the planned period have very little infrastructure to cope with extra housing demands. If extra housing is required in the future and additional sites are to be required then good highway access must be one of the key drivers. Many villages have become gridlocked due to the inadequacy of the small roads that service these locations. Additional housing allocation sites should also not be put forward for consideration if the site has been through a previous planning application and has been recommended for refusal on planning grounds by the local planning department.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad