

✓ way copy  
✓ public copy  
✓ scanned/same redacted  
✓ -u  
✓ response pro forme  
K.

[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]

4<sup>th</sup> Aug 17,

HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RE IDENTIFIED CHANGES .

( HED DPD submission stage ) Comments submitted on behalf of the Tosside residents group .

Dear Sirs ,

On behalf of the Tosside Residents Group we wish to make the following representations to this process :

The Bolton by Bowland and Gisburn Forest Neighbourhood Plan was significantly altered by the External Examiner , in effect removing all the proposed housing allocations ( which were all outside the settlement boundary ) from the plan altogether and advising the Parish Council to address the Green Space allocations properly within the few remaining elements that are now left in this plan.

The residents group have been lobbying the Parish Council to properly designate the green space on Church Acre in the Neighbourhood Plan . I note that the DMB4 open space provision will be replaced with policy OS1 in all sites outside the settlement boundary .

We wish to state that the green space on Church Acre was designated by the steering group in June 16 then unlawfully changed to "Potential Green space "in the Sept 16 final submission of the plan We are not sure where this leaves us in terms of the new legislation changes ie if this site was designated by the steering group in the final NP submission should it remain so ?under the new legislations .

We are also very concerned that the changes imposed by the Examiner now prohibiting housing outside the settlement boundary may result in a retrospective attempt to change the settlement boundaries relating to these sites ie the steering group may now attempt to orchestrate a change in the settlement boundaries to make the proposed sites comply within village settlement .

We are totally opposed to changes in the settlement boundary in Tosside village as relating to the HED/DPD .

[Redacted]

( On behalf of the members of Tosside Group )