

TOTAL SCORES - EVALUATION TABLE OF RESULTS

WEIGHTING OF SCORES BASED ON 65% PRICE, 20% QUALITY, 10% PROGRAMME AND 5% QUALITY OF TENDER RETURNS

	TENDER FIGURES (ADJUSTED)	% over lowest tender	TOTAL PERCENTAGE SCORE (out of 100%)	PRICE - 65%		QUALITY (METHOD STATEMENTS & INTERVIEW) - 20%		PROGRAMME - 10%		QUALITY OF TENDER SUBMISSIONS / TENDER DELIVERABLES - 5%	
				TOTAL PRICE (out of 35)	PRICE SCORES (WEIGHTED)	TOTAL QUALITY (interview + method statements out of 150)	QUALITY SCORES (WEIGHTED)	TOTAL PROGRAMME (out of 80)	PROGRAMME SCORES (WEIGHTED)	TOTAL TENDER DELIVERABLES (out of 18)	TENDER SUBMISSION SCORES (WEIGHTED)
Barnfield Construction	£2,638,663.00	19%	41%	15	27.9	48	6.4	48	6.0	3	0.8
John Turner	£2,492,336.00	12%	38%	20	37.1	-	-	-	-	2	0.6
William Birch	£2,338,445.00	5%	68%	25	46.4	94	12.5	62	7.8	6	1.7
Conlon Construction	£2,241,319.00	1%	77%	30	55.7	112	14.9	47	5.9	3	0.8
William Anelay	£2,219,417.00		94%	35	65.0	131	17.4	66	8.3	11	3.1

PRICE EVALUATION:The **lowest tender** will be awarded 35 points;All tenders **between 0% and 5% of the lowest tender** will be awarded 30 points;All tenders **between 5% and 10% of the lowest tender** will be awarded 25 points;All tenders **between 10% and 15% of the lowest tender** will be awarded 20 points;All tenders **between 15% and 20% of the lowest tender** will be awarded 15 points;All tenders **between 20% and 25% of the lowest tender** will be awarded 10 points; andAny tenders **not within 25% of the lowest tender** will receive 2 points**QUALITY (METHOD STATEMENT) EVALUATION:**

Each of the Method Statements should be marked objectively by the Project Team, against the criteria in accordance with the guide below:

	SCORE
Meets all the requirements in a comprehensive manner.	5 Points
A satisfactory response which meets the basic requirements.	4 Points
A satisfactory response but doubts expressed on some aspects.	3 Points
Indications that the Tenderer will meet only some requirements of the contract in a satisfactory manner.	2 Points
A very poor submission/ unsatisfactory response which suggests the Tenderer will have serious difficulties with the programme, or no information provided	0 Points

QUALITY (INTERVIEW) EVALUATION:

Each of the Contractors will be asked 10 specific questions and marked objectively by the interview panel, which consists of RVBC (2Nr), BFAW (1Nr), SH (1Nr), Giffords (1Nr), TTPM (1Nr) and TTCM (2Nr). The questions should be marked out of a possible 10 points.

The **Tenderer with the highest mark** is awarded 30 points;All Tenderers who are **within 0% and 10% of the highest mark** are awarded 25 points;All Tenderers who are **within 10% and 20% of the highest mark** are awarded 20 points;All Tenderers who are **within 20% and 30% of the highest mark** are awarded 15 points;All Tenderers who are not **within 30% of the highest mark** are awarded 2 points

* Tenderer not invited to post-tender interviews due to high price