

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: 5th SEPTEMBER 2019
 title: 2018/2019 YEAR-END PERFORMANCE INFORMATION
 submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES
 principal author: MICHELLE HAWORTH – PRINCIPAL POLICY AND PERFORMANCE OFFICER

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 This is the year-end report of 2018/2019 that details performance against our local performance indicators.
- 1.2 Regular performance monitoring is essential to ensure that the Council is delivering effectively against its agreed priorities, both in terms of the national agenda and local needs.
- 1.3 Relevance to the Council's ambitions and priorities:
 - Community Objectives –
 - Corporate Priorities –
 - Other Considerations -

Monitoring our performance ensures that we are both providing excellent services for our community as well as meeting corporate priorities.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Performance Indicators are an important driver of improvement and allow authorities, their auditors, inspectors, elected members and service users to judge how well services are performing.
- 2.2 A rationale has been sought for maintaining each indicator – with it either being used to monitor service performance or to monitor the delivery of a local priority.
- 2.3 The report attached at Appendix 1 comprises the following information:
 - The outturn figures for all local performance indicators relevant to this committee for 2018/19. Notes are provided where necessary to explain significant variances either between the outturn and the target or between 2018/2019 data and 2017/2018 data. A significant variance is greater than 15% (or 10% for cost PIs).
 - Performance information is also provided for previous years for comparison purposes (where available) and the trend in performance is shown.
 - Targets for service performance for the year 2018/2019 are provided and a 'traffic light' system is used to show variances of actual performance against the target as follows: Red: service performance significantly below target (i.e. less than 75% of target performance), Amber: performance slightly below target (i.e. between 75% and 99% of target), Green: target met/exceeded.
 - Targets have also been provided for 2019/2020.
- 2.4 These tables are provided to allow members to ascertain how well services are being delivered against our local priorities and objectives, as listed in the Corporate Strategy.
- 2.5 Analysis shows that of the 7 indicators that can be compared to target:
 - 85.71% (6) of PIs met target (green)
 - 0% (0) of PIs close to target (amber)

- 14.29% (1) of PIs missed target (red)
- 2.6 Analysis shows that of the 24 indicators where performance trend can be compared over the years:
- 29.17% (7) of PIs improved
 - 8.33% (2) of PIs stayed the same
 - 62.50% (15) of PIs worsened
- 2.7 Where possible audited and checked data has been included in the report. However, some data may be corrected following the work of Internal Audit and before the final publication of the indicators on the Council's website.
- 2.8 Indicators can be categorised as 'data only' if they are not suitable for monitoring against targets – these are marked as so in the report.
- 3 GENERAL COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE AND TARGETS
- 3.1 In respect of PIs for Planning Services, John Macholc, Head of Planning Services, has provided the following information regarding performance and targets:
- **PI RH10 - % New homes built on previously developed land** - The lack of available brownfield sites and pressure for new housing leads to a requirement to develop green field sites.
- 4 RISK ASSESSMENT
- 4.1 The approval of this report may have the following implications
- Resources - None
 - Technical, Environmental and Legal – None
 - Political - None
 - Reputation – It is important that correct information is available to facilitate decision-making.
 - Equality & Diversity - None
- 5 CONCLUSION
- 5.1 Consider the 2018/2019 performance information provided relating to this committee.

Michelle Haworth
PRINCIPAL POLICY AND
PERFORMANCE OFFICER









Jane Pearson
DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

BACKGROUND PAPERS:





















REF:

For further information please ask for Michelle Haworth, extension 4421











APPENDIX 1

| PI Status | | Long Term Trends | |
|---|-----------|---|---------------|
|  | Alert |  | Improving |
|  | Warning |  | No Change |
|  | OK |  | Getting Worse |
|  | Unknown | | |
|  | Data Only | | |

Planning Performance Information 2018/2019

| PI Code | Short Name | 2017/18 | | 2018/19 | | 2019/20 | Current Performance | Trend year on year | Target setting rationale | Objective |
|-----------------------|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| | | Value | Target | Value | Target | Target | | | | |
| PI PL2 (BV204) | Planning appeals allowed | 41.0% | 23.0% | 28.9% | 30.0% | 30.0% |  |  | | |
| PI PL2a | Planning appeals received - householder appeal | 4 | | 5 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2b | Planning appeals received - written representation | 12 | | 13 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2c | Planning appeals received - Inquiry | 1 | | 1 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2d | Planning appeals received - Hearings | 3 | | 1 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2e | Planning appeals determined - Householder appeal | 3 | | 5 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2f | Planning appeals determined - written representation | 13 | | 11 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2g | Planning appeals determined - Inquiry | 0 | | 0 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL2h | Planning appeals determined - Hearings | 3 | | 2 | | |  |  | | |
| PI PL3 | Applications refused by committee but recommended for approval | 0 | | 6 | | |  |  | | |

| PI Code | Short Name | 2017/18 | | 2018/19 | | 2019/20 | Current Performance | Trend year on year | Target setting rationale | Objective |
|------------------|---|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|--|---|
| | | Value | Target | Value | Target | Target | | | | |
| PI PL4 | Applications approved by committee but officers recommended for refusal | 4 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| PI PL5 (BV188) | % of planning decisions delegated to officers | 96.05% | | 92.43% | | | | | | |
| PI PL14a (N157a) | Processing of planning applications: Major applications | 86.11% | 50.00% | 75.00% | 60.00% | 70.00% | | | The use of Extension of Times has enabled the delay in S106 to be taken into account so these are often excluded from figures allowing us to meet a higher target | |
| PI PL14b (N157b) | Processing of planning applications: Minor applications | 91.51% | 65.00% | 81.24% | 70.00% | 75.00% | | | Due to high level of applications and the contentious nature many applications are determined at committee which makes it difficult to determine within the 8 week period. | |
| PI PL14c (N157c) | Processing of planning applications: Other applications | 89.11% | 75.00% | 82.08% | 75.00% | 78.00% | | | Re-organisation of staff to focus on minor applications | |
| PI PL14d | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications received | 710 | | 709 | | | | | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI PL14e | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications determined | 659 | | 661 | | | | | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI PL14f | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications withdrawn | 44 | | 46 | | | | | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI PL14g | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications determined under delegated powers | 633 | | 611 | | | | | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |

| PI Code | Short Name | 2017/18 | | 2018/19 | | 2019/20 | Current Performance | Trend year on year | Target setting rationale | Objective |
|------------------------|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---|---|---|---|
| | | Value | Target | Value | Target | Target | | | | |
| PI PL14h | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications approved | 589 | | 567 | | |  |  | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI PL14i | Processing of planning applications: Number of applications refused | 70 | | 94 | | |  |  | | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI RH10 (BV106) | % New homes built on previously developed land | 24.00% | 30.00% | 18.00% | 27.00% | 25.00% |  |  | Due to the lack of available brownfield sites and pressure for new housing it leads to significant need to develop green field sites. | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI RH11 | Number of new homes granted planning permission | 409 | 85 | 361 | 100 | 100 |  |  | Based on estimates of additional permissions necessary to maintain 5 year supply. Additional permissions needed to balance out reduction in supply from actual units delivered at reserved matters. | To conserve our countryside, the natural beauty of the area and enhance our built environment |
| PI RH12 | Number of new homes constructed | 400 | 280 | 412 | 280 | 280 |  |  | Set at 280 to reflect the annulated figure of the Core Strategy | To meet the housing needs of all sections of the Community |