

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

please ask for: OLWEN HEAP
direct line: 01200 414408
e-mail: olwen.heap@ribblevalley.gov.uk
my ref: OH/CMS
your ref:
date: 5 March 2013

Council Offices
Church Walk
CLITHEROE
Lancashire BB7 2RA

Switchboard: 01200 425111
Fax: 01200 414488

www.ribblevalley.gov.uk

Dear Councillor

The next meeting of the **COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE** is at **6.30pm** on **TUESDAY, 12 MARCH 2013** in the **TOWN HALL, CHURCH STREET, CLITHEROE.**

I do hope you will be there.

Yours sincerely

CHIEF EXECUTIVE

To: Committee Members (Copy for information to all other members of the Council)
Directors
Press

AGENDA

Part I - items of business to be discussed in public

1. Apologies for absence.
- ✓ 2. Minutes of the meetings held on 15 January 2013 - copy enclosed.
3. Declarations of Interest (if any).
4. Public participation (if any).

DECISION ITEMS

5. Presentation on Rollout of New Bins – Head of Engineering Services.
- ✓ 6. Recreation/Sports/Arts Grants – report of Director of Community Services – copy enclosed.
- ✓ 8. Events in the Castle Grounds – report of Director of Community Services – copy enclosed.

INFORMATION ITEMS

- ✓ 9. Facilities Strategy – report of Director of Community Services – copy enclosed.
- ✓ 10. Capital Programme 2013/2014 – report of Director of Resources – copy enclosed.
- 11. Reports from Representatives on Outside Bodies (if any).

Part II - items of business **not** to be discussed in public

- ✓ 12. Fortnightly Waste Paper Collection – report of Director of Community Services – copy enclosed.

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: 12 MARCH 2013
title: RECREATION/CULTURE, SPORTS, & ARTS GRANTS
submitted by: JOHN C HEAP, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES
principal author: CHRIS HUGHES, HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To present the recommendations of the working group in relation to the allocation of grants under Recreation/Culture, Individual Sporting Excellence, and Individual Arts Excellence.

1.2 Relevance to the Council Aims / Ambitions

- **Principal Aim** – To be a well-managed council, providing efficient and responsive services, based on identified customer needs.
- **Council Ambitions** – To make people's lives safer and healthier and, more specifically, to increase opportunities for people to access cultural activities and improve outcomes for children and young people.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The purpose of Recreation/Culture grants is to provide voluntary organisations with support for events/projects that enhance recreation and cultural provision.
- 2.2 The Council's Sporting Excellence Grants seek to assist many talented sportsmen and women in their chosen sport, helping towards the expenses associated with competition, training and equipment.
- 2.3 Arts Excellence awards are the same as above, and are awarded to people within the performing arts.

3 ISSUES

The overall number of Recreation grant applications has remained static, compared with the previous year, with a slight fall in the number of Sporting Excellence applications. On a more positive note, we have seen a 100% increase in the number of Arts Excellence applications.

4 RISK ASSESSMENT

Approval of this report may have the following implications:

- **Resources** – The following sums are available for distribution:

Recreation / Culture Grants £28,700

There is also a balance of £18,143 built up from previous years.

Sporting Excellence £3,440

There is also a balance of £2,910 built up from previous years.

Arts Excellence £3,940

- Technical, Environmental and Legal - none
- Political - none
- **Reputation** – the grants programme is well received within the community, and gives the Council a platform to demonstrate its support for the voluntary sector and young people.

5 RECOMMENDED THAT COMMITTEE

Endorses the recommendations of the Working Group outlined in appendices 1, 2 & 3.

JOHN C HEAP
DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

CHRIS HUGHES
HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

For further information, please ask for Chris Hughes 01200 41 4479

Ref: Chris Hughes / IW / Community Services 12.3.13

RECREATION/CULTURE GRANT PROPOSALS

ORGANISATION	PROJECT	COST	GRANT REQUEST	PREVIOUS GRANTS	PROPOSAL
Ribble Valley Jazz and Blues Festival	Organisation of 2013 festival - 3-6 th May. Events over a range of venues, including the closure of Castle Street for open air performances	£16,250	£1,000	£600	£1,000
Ribble Valley Netball Club	Series of Activity Days/Taster events running throughout the summer starting during Easter holidays	£1400	£500	Grant have been given for previous activities	£500
The Grand	Improvements to Clitheroe Outdoor Skatepark	£3,000	£1500	no	£1,500
Grindleton Recreation Association	Purchase of Carpet Bowls Mats	£2,260	£1130		£1130
Ribchester Festival	Annual Music Festival – 20 th -23 rd June	£21,500	£2,500	£2,250	£2,500
Clitheroe Town Council	Provision of Summer Band Concerts at Clitheroe Castle, culminating the Last Night of the Proms on the 10 th August	£6,000	£3,000	£500 last year	£1,100
Play Happy Promotions	To hold 'Cloudspotting' Family Music Festival at Stephen Park - 16 th -28 th July (previously held at the Aspinal Arms, Mitton)	£18,310	£1500	no	£1,500
Longridge Golf Club	Provision of adult/junior coaching	£2,670	£1,000	No	£935
Longridge Band	'Walking Out Jackets'	£2892	£1400	Regular previous grants	Nil – does not fit grant criteria
Ribble Valley Choir	Production of a choral event – Dec 2013	£630	£250	Received grants over past 3 years	£250
Valley Gigs	Provide performers to support the Ribble Valley Jazz and Blues Festival 3-6 th May	£2100	£700	Regular previous grants	£700
The Grand	Support for the 'Be my Band' Project	£2092	£1046	no	£1,000
Pennine Soaring Club	Establishment of a hang-gliding / para-gliding festival	£1199	£200	no	£200
Read Cricket Club	Project to provide a new pavilion/changing rooms and associated landscaping	£310,000	£10,000	£10,000 at the earlier stage of the development	£10,000
TOTAL			£25,726		£22,315

SPORTING EXCELLENCE GRANT PROPOSALS

NAME	AGE	SPORT	STANDARD	SCORE	GRANT
Conor Byrne	16	Climbing	Conor has represented Great Britain and recently achieved 12th place in the European Youth Cup in Slovenia	25	£300
William Murray	15	Swimming	William has represented England and qualified for the British Open Swimming Championships	26	£300
Oliver Murray	14	Pentathlon	Oliver has achieved top ten places in national pentathlon and tetraathlon championships	23	£300
Jack Bond	12	Golf	Jack represents the Lancashire union of Golf Clubs at his age category and has a handicap of 11	19	£200
Hannah Proctor	12	Modern Pentathlon	Has competed at the National Triathlon championships and is completing a probationary period for the Pentathlon GB Talent Programme	19	£200
Emma Fox	13	Swimming	Emma currently represents Lancashire and holds national rankings for freestyle and backstroke	18	£200
Lily Hutchings	10	Tennis	Lily plays tennis for Lancashire at her age group and is in the top 10 in the region	18	£200
Patrick Brown	18	Cricket	Patrick is a cricket umpire who is accredited to officiate at a county standard and has umpired national standard disability games.	17	£200
Siobhan Lough-Scott	16	Gymnastics	Siobhan is part of the Lancashire Gymnastics squad	17	£200
Lydia Bury	13	Tennis	Lydia has played for Lancashire at national tournaments	16	£200
Alex Forest	15	Golf	Alex is part of the Lancashire Ladies squad and plays off a handicap of 9	16	£200
Joshua Leatherd	13	Athletics	Joshua is currently has a national ranking of 6 th and 4 th for the 100 and 200m respectively	16	£200
Jordan Bury	16	Tennis	Jordan is part of the LTA Northern High Performance Academy	16	£200
Tim Le Breton	19	Cricket	Has represented his County and now part of the MCC Academy at Loughborough University	15	£200
Joshua Stamford	10	Cricket	Joshua has been selected for the Lancashire U11 squad	10	£150
Charlie Dewhurst	13	Cricket	Charlie plays for Lancashire at U13's level	10	£150
TOTAL					£3,400

ARTS EXCELLENCE GRANTS

NAME	AGE	ACTIVITY	POINTS	GRANT
Madeline Dummer	12	Drama	7	£100
Oscar Dummer	-	Drama	6	£100
Lillian Dummer	15	Drama	7	£100
Caudia Nurse	16	Music	10	£150
Emily Billington	15	Dance	9	£150
Elizebeth Burgess	14	Music/Singing	11	£200
Jack Warren	15	Music	7	£100
Emily Warren	11	Dance/Music	7	£100
Elliot Dryden	18	Music	8	£150
Scarlett Reynolds	13	Drama/Singing	3	£50
Elizebeth Griffiths	11	Dance	10	£150
Hanna Spurgeon	18	Music	6	£100
James Walsh	14	Music/Singing	3	£50
Olivia Holden	15	Dance	4	£50
Calum Sykora	-	Music	3	£50
Karen Wilkinson	14	Dance/Drama/Music	8	£150
Benjamin Lancaster	14	Music	5	£100
Lauren Hall	17	Dance/Drama/Singing	7	£100
Ashleigh Smith	19	Dance/Drama/Singing	9	£150
Benjamin Muldoon	15	Music	8	£150
Thomas Muldoon	12	Singing	8	£150
Thomas Hope	19	Dance	9	£150
Oliver Kirk	14	Dance	8	£150
Daniel Kirk	10	Dance	9	£150
Susanne Garth-Jones	13	Dance	10	£150
Georgia Burns	14	Dance	9	£150
Emma West	10	Dance	9	£150
Molly Reynolds	9	Dance	7	£100
Ben Heys	13	Music/Singing	7	£100
TOTAL				£3,500

SCORING SYSTEM

Standard achieved scores between 1 and 5
 Time Commitment scores between 1 and 3
 Cost/Parental Support scores between 1 and 3

The cumulative total gives the final score

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: 12 MARCH 2013
title: EVENTS IN THE CASTLE GROUNDS
submitted by: JOHN HEAP, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES
principal author: CHRIS HUGHES, HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To ask members to consider incorporating capacity restrictions in contracts on futures events in the Castle Grounds.
- 1.2 Relevance to the Council's ambitions and priorities:
 - **Council Ambitions** - to make people's lives safer and healthier
- to ensure services are accessible to all

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The Castle Grounds hosts a range of events throughout a given year, varying in size and complexity, the most prominent being Last Night of the Proms, Sport Relief Mile, Bonfire and annual fairs.
- 2.2 The two main areas where events take place are the Bandstand and Castle Field.
- 2.3 There has always been a robust, yet supportive, approach to each event which has included the production of an event plan, which varies in complexity to reflect each individual event.
- 2.4 In the vast majority of cases, event plans are agreed, and events take place with little, or no, adverse issues.
- 2.5 For most events, the suitability of the event, and accompanying event plan, is determined in-house and, subject to approval, a contract is issued.
- 2.6 For large-scale events, and those that require a detailed input from external agencies (predominantly emergency services) those organisations have been consulted via the Event Safety Advisory Group (RVSAG).
- 2.7 In terms of the Castle Grounds in recent years, this has only involved the annual Bonfire, but previously has included other large one-off events, such as music, and other, festivals.

3 CURRENT SITUATION

- 3.1 As members will be aware, there have been a range of issues relating to the 2012 Bonfire, that were unable to be rectified, resulting in the cancellation of the year's event.
- 3.2 Many of the issues related to the previous year's bonfire, when the event saw a significant increase in attendances, particularly from outside the Borough.

3.3 As a result of the arguments generated by this topic, the Council has reviewed both the role and the operation of ESAG, and the conclusions of that review has been reported to Policy & Finance Committee, and the new structure has been implemented.

4 ISSUES

4.1 The key issue for this committee, from a landowner's perspective, is that, as part of contractual arrangements with the hirer, in this case Rotary/Round Table, the Council did not specify a maximum capacity for the Castle, unlike with other event organisers in the past.

4.2 It is unusual for a venue, indoor or outdoor, not to specify a maximum occupancy, as this, along with other factors contained within the event plan, determines whether the proposed event can be delivered in a safe and effective manner.

4.3 In terms of Castle Field, an assessment has been carried out to determine the number of people who can safely be present at an event/activity. The calculation is based on two factors:

- **The occupant density of the facility** – this refers to the number of people that can safely fit into a defined space (Castle Field, in this case);
- **The exit capacity of the site** – this refers to the number of people that can safely access or egress the site under normal circumstances, or during an evacuation, should this become necessary.

Using this formula, it is recommended that the maximum capacity for Castle Field is 5,000 people. This is the figure that has been used for many years, even for daytime events.

4.4 In terms of the Bandstand, and using the same principles as above, the recommended maximum capacity is as follows:

- **Tiered area** within fenced perimeter:
 - 500 people
- **Grassed area**, including lower path and up to upper path;
 - 1,500 people

= maximum capacity of 2,000

4.5 Both of these capacity figures have been used for a number of years without problems, although the calculation relating to the capacity of the Castle Field was one of the points of contention during debates arising from the cancellation of the 2012 bonfire.

4.6 This calculation was carried out by a Council employee, who holds the necessary qualification to carry out such a function.

4.7 The issue of capacity was discussed at a recent meeting of RVSAG, where the police suggested that the site capacity of 5,000 would be acceptable, but this could be increased to 6,000 with the adoption of an acceptable Traffic Management Plan, to enable a safe exit from Eshton Terrace and the Trinity access.

4.8 The Bonfire Committee argued last year that the figure is too low, and that the only way to advance the issue is for an independent assessment to be carried out.

5 RISK ASSESSMENT

The approval of this report may have the following implications:

- **Resources** – there are no direct resource issues, although the setting of occupancy limits will slightly reduce the level of staff resources involved, compared with if each event capacity were to be individually negotiated.
- **Technical / Legal** – criminal and civil law will apply, in varying circumstances, to landowners, operators and organisers of events in the form of the Health & Safety at Work Act 1974, the Occupiers Liability Act 1957, and the Regulatory Reform Order 2005 (Fire Safety). In simplistic terms, the Council – acting through this Committee – has a duty of care not to permit its land to be used for public events that it is not convinced are safe.
- **Political** – the Council should, as landowner and custodian of the site, ensure that it is taking a responsible attitude towards people attending events/activities taking place on its premises, whether they are organised by the Council or a third party, whilst making every effort to facilitate the use of the site by the community for public events.
- **Reputation** – as the proposed occupancy levels have been challenged, members should be aware that, as landowner, the safe use of all premises must take precedence over all other issues. We must, however, show clear evidence as to how we have arrived at maximum occupancy levels.

6 RECOMMENDED THAT COMMITTEE

6.1 Notes the contents of the report;

6.2 Considers whether to accept the current calculation of 5,000, with the addition of a further 1,000, subject to a satisfactory Traffic Management Plan,

OR

6.3 Agrees that an independent assessment be carried out.

JOHN C HEAP
DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

CHRIS HUGHES
HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

For further information, please ask for Chris Hughes 01200 414479

Ref: Chris Hughes/ IW / Community Services 12.3.13

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

meeting date: 12 MARCH 2013
title: FACILITIES STRATEGY
submitted by: JOHN HEAP, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES
principal author: CHRIS HUGHES, HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

1 PURPOSE

1.1 To bring members up to date with progress on producing a facilities strategy.

1.2 Relevance to the Council Ambitions and Priorities:

- **Corporate Priorities:**
 - to make people's lives safer and healthier;
 - to be a well-managed Council, providing efficient services based on identified customer needs.
- **Corporate Objectives:**
 - to finalise the core strategy;
 - to improve the health of people living and working in the area;
 - to improve the opportunity for young people to participate in recreation and sporting activities.

2 BACKGROUND

At the last Committee meeting, members were given information for the basis of a facilities strategy that would identify future facility needs, based on existing participation levels and future demand, based on population trends identified in the Core Strategy.

3 CURRENT SITUATION

3.1 The working group has met twice since the last Committee meeting, to identify what additional work would be required in order to have a fully evidenced strategy that could stand up to scrutiny.

3.2 The work has therefore focussed on the following areas:

- Testing the robustness of the data and including any additional supporting information;
- Identifying future facility needs and associated costs;
- Identifying strategic sites, tied with the Borough's three main population centres;
- Developing a funding plan, including the contribution from future housing developments

4 ISSUES

4.1 Much of the content of the strategy is completed, so the main focus of the work is on establishing the investment element.

4.2 At the most recent meeting of the steering group, members were informed of future facility requirements and the likely capital costs. A formula had also been worked out to determine the percentage of external grant funding that future building projects were likely to attract.

4.3 Mechanisms by which future housing development would be expected to contribute to future infrastructure, based on additional facilities to meet future participation demands, were also discussed.

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 It is clear that both the strategy, as the key evidence-base, and any funding proposals, will have to demonstrate a clear connection between population increase and facility needs, in order to justify developer contributions.

5.2 Current practice was recently challenged by a planning inspector for the Milton Avenue development, resulting in the Council's request for a contribution to offsite provision being refused, based on a lack of evidence for why it was needed, and how the sum was calculated.

5.3 With this in mind, the working group has asked officers to establish stakeholder groups to test the principles contained in both the strategy and proposed investment plan.

5.4 A final report of the findings will be presented to the relevant committees at the next cycle.

6 RISK ASSESSMENT

The approval of this report may have the following implications:

- **Resources** – there are no specific financial implications associated with this report, but the success, or otherwise, of an agreed investment plan will have serious implications for future facility development.
- **Legal and Technical** – Any future plans must, as much as possible, have a clear evidence-base that can stand up to scrutiny.
- **Political** – it is important that investment is received from future housing development as the associated population increase will impact on existing facility infrastructure.
- **Reputation** – Given the high profile of housing development in the Ribble Valley, the Council's leadership role will be vital in shaping future facility provisions.

7 CONCLUSION

Members will be informed of future developments.

JOHN C HEAP
DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

CHRIS HUGHES
HEAD OF CULTURAL & LEISURE SERVICES

Background papers:

For further information, please ask for Chris Hughes 01200 414479

Ref: Chris Hughes/IW/Community Services 12.3.13

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL
REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

INFORMATION

Agenda Item No

meeting date: 12 MARCH 2013
 title: CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2013/14
 submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES
 principal author: AMY JOHNSON

1 PURPOSE

1.1 To inform members of the new schemes which have been approved for inclusion in the capital programme for this committee for the forthcoming financial year (2013/14).

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 As members will be aware, this committee agreed a proposed capital programme for 2013/16 at its meeting on 15 January this year. As it stood at that time the capital programme was unaffordable. The proposals have since been reviewed by Budget Working Group and CMT to arrive at an affordable programme for 2013/14.

2.2 Following recommendation by a special meeting of Policy and Finance Committee on 12 February, Full Council will consider the five year capital programme for 2013/16 on 5 March 2013. Any changes will be reported to your meeting.

2.3 The recommended capital programme for the three year period 2013/16 totals £2,167,620 for all committees. The total for this committee is £1,232,000 over the three year life of the capital programme, £593,000 of which relates to the 2013/14 financial year.

3 SCHEMES APPROVED FOR 2013/14

3.1 For this Committee there are 3 schemes that have been approved for the 2013/14 financial year, totalling £593,000. Shown below is a list of the schemes that make up this total.

	Approved Budget for 2013/14 £
<u>Grounds Maintenance</u>	
Replacement Vehicle PK06 VWY - Vauxhall Vivaro 2900 DTI LWB Panel Van	13,000
Play Area Improvements	40,000
<u>Refuse Collection</u>	
Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual Waste - Purchase of Vehicles and Bins	540,000
TOTAL COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE	593,000

3.2 Detailed information on the 3 new schemes shown above is provided at Annex 1.

3.3 During the closure of our capital accounts there will inevitably be some slippage on schemes in the current year (2012/13). One of the tasks of the Budget Working Group/Capital Working Group will be to review all requests for slippage on capital schemes

within the 2012/13 capital programme. A report will be brought to this committee at a future meeting giving details of any slippage.

- 3.4 Responsible officers will complete and update capital monitoring sheets for each scheme, which will be reported quarterly to members to give an indication of progress.

4 CONCLUSION

- 4.1 This committee has a capital programme for next year of £593,000, consisting of three schemes.

- 4.2 In addition there may be slippage on schemes in the 2012/13 capital programme.

SENIOR ACCOUNTANT

DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

CM5-13/AJ/AC
25 February 2013

**Community Services Committee
New Schemes Approved for the 2013/14 Capital Programme**

***Replacement Vehicle PK06 VWY – Vauxhall Vivaro 2900
DTI LWB Panel Van***

Service Area: Grounds Maintenance

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

The replacement of a panel van, registration PK06 VWY. This particular van fulfills two functions – as a grounds maintenance vehicle for small pedestrian mowers and a waste/dog bin collection vehicle. Previously two vehicles were used for these functions but, as part of an efficiency drive, the lease of a street cleaning vehicle was stopped.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

The scheme maintains current performance.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

Beginning of the 2013/14 financial year

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £
Equipment and Materials	13,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

**Community Services Committee
New Schemes Approved for the 2013/14 Capital Programme**

Useful economic life:

No comment made.

Impact on the environment:

Currently using 1 vehicle instead of the 2 previously used.

**Community Services Committee
New Schemes Approved for the 2013/14 Capital Programme**

Play Area Improvements

Service Area: Play Areas

Head of Service: Chris Hughes

Brief Description:

Provide a fund for maintaining and improving the Councils seventeen play areas.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To help make people's lives safer and healthier.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

The scheme is vital to maintaining and improving current standards. In some instances equipment can be repaired, extending its life and therefore reducing expensive replacement costs.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

April 2013 onwards.

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £
Contractors	10,000
Equipment and Materials	20,000
Internal Staff Salaries	10,000
TOTAL	40,000

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Existing Service – no change	-

Useful economic life:

The life expectancy varies, depending on the type of equipment purchased. Location and intensity of use is also a factor.

Impact on the environment:

No comments made.

ANNEX 1

**Community Services Committee
New Schemes Approved for the 2013/14 Capital Programme**

***Retention of Weekly Collection of Residual Waste –
Purchase of Vehicles and Bins***

Service Area: Refuse Collection

Head of Service: Terry Longden

Brief Description:

The Council has received a £750,000 grant from the Government for the retention of Weekly Residual Waste Collection. It was one of 85 local authorities who are to receive a share of £250million for recycling and refuse collection, including weekly collections of non-recyclable waste, food waste collections, reward schemes and incentive schemes.

Over 130 projects from across the UK bid for the cash, with 90 being selected to go forward.

This fully grant funded scheme seeks to purchase and deliver 15,000 x 240 litre wheeled bins, 2 specialised split bodied collection vehicles and all appropriate publicity and promotions. The budget in 2013/14 represents the first phase of this scheme.

Please Note that a further vehicle is to be purchased in 2014/15 (£210,000) bringing the total scheme to £750,000. There may be a future request for the bringing forward of the second vehicle purchase, which would be brought to committee, as savings may be achieved through such an approach.

Overriding aim/ambition that the scheme meets:

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

Improving service performance, efficiency and value for money:

The use of such a specialised fleet to provide the service enables the costs per household to be the lowest of any district in Lancashire, this is despite the relatively high mileage travelled in operating the service. The project supports and continues this approach.

Start Date, duration and key milestones:

Start 1 April 2013 - continuing over 2014/15 - including post tender stand-still period and vehicle commissioning.

**Community Services Committee
New Schemes Approved for the 2013/14 Capital Programme**

Financial Implications – CAPITAL:

Breakdown	2013/14 £
Purchase and Distribution of 15,000 Wheeled Bins	330,000
Purchase of Two Slip Body Refuse Vehicles	210,000
Total Scheme Costs (First Phase)	540,000
Government Funding (DCLG)	-540,000
Net Impact to the Council	0

Financial Implications – ANNUAL REVENUE:

Breakdown	£
Transport Related costs (reduced fuel consumptions p.a)	-2,000
Total Estimated <u>Annual</u> SAVINGS	-2,000
Estimated Lifespan	8 Years
Total Estimated <u>Lifetime</u> SAVINGS	-16,000
Estimated disposal/wind down costs/income	-1,000
NET Estimated <u>Lifetime</u> Revenue SAVING	-17,000

Useful economic life:

This scheme is partly for the replacing of existing vehicles. The life of the new vehicles is anticipated to be 7 years as a front line vehicle with a further year as a cover vehicle, overall 8 years.

Impact on the environment:

The new vehicles will be more fuel efficient and have lower carbon emissions than the existing vehicle that it replaces (monitored through Carbon emissions PI).