

RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

REPORT TO COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

INFORMATION

Agenda Item No

meeting date: 15 MARCH 2011
title: CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2011/12
submitted by: DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES
principal author: NEIL SANDIFORD

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To inform members of the new schemes which have been approved for inclusion in the capital programme for this committee for the forthcoming financial year (2011/12).

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The original schemes put forward for the council's five-year capital programme exceeded the finance that was available. As a result a capital programme was approved for 2011/12, with the remaining schemes for the 2012/16 period being set aside.
- 2.2 In line with recommendations at Budget Working Group and also at Policy and Finance Committee on 8 February, a capital working group is to be set up to agree a programme for the years 2012/13 to 2015/16 which is affordable and achievable and ties in with the outcomes of service reviews, which are currently underway.
- 2.3 Full Council approved the 2011/12 schemes on 8 March 2011.

3 SCHEMES

- 3.1 There are 4 schemes for this Committee, which total £270,000. Shown below is a list of the schemes that make up this total. These are new schemes for which you will have approved the capital pro-forma completed by the relevant officer, giving details of the project. These are shown at Annex 1.

Cost Centre	Scheme Title	Approved Budget 2011/12 £
PLAYM	Improvements to Children's Play Areas	20,000
PITCH	Football Pitch Drainage and Improvement Work	10,000
CARPK	Car Parks Rolling Programme	40,000
RVFXV	Replace Refuse Collection Vehicle VX04 FXV	200,000
Total Community Services Committee		270,000

- 3.2 During the closure of our capital accounts there will inevitably be some slippage on schemes in the current year (2010/11). One of the first tasks of the new Capital Working Group will be to review all requests for slippage on capital schemes within the 2010/11 capital programme. A report will be brought to committee at a future meeting giving details of any approved slippage.

3.3 Responsible officers will complete and update capital monitoring sheets for each scheme, which will be reported quarterly to members to give an indication of progress.

4 RECOMMENDED THAT COMMITTEE

4.1 Note the report.

NEIL SANDIFORD
TECHNICAL ACCOUNTANT

CM3-11/NS/AC
3 MARCH 2011



RIBBLE VALLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL
Capital Scheme Appraisal Form. Scheme: 1

1 Capital Scheme Title

Improvements to Children's Play Areas

(submitted by: John Heap)

2 Brief Description of the Scheme

The primary purpose of this budget is to fund the routine maintenance of the existing children's play areas to be used on new and replacement play equipment and associated works in parks and open spaces

3 Financial Implications – CAPITAL

	2011/2012 £	2012/2013 £	2013/2014 £	2014/2015 £	2015/2016 £
Scheme Cost	20,000				

4 Financial Implications - REVENUE

Annual Revenue Implications

None identified

5 Please indicate the start date and duration of the project including key milestones.

Rolling programme of improvement works for 2011/12

6 If this is a new asset, what is the expected useful economic life of the new asset? If this is a refurbishment scheme what is the current expected useful economic life of the asset, and by how long does this bid increase the functional lifespan?

6 years

7 Please detail any additional information in support of your bid

This is part of ongoing maintenance and improvement works.

8 Are there any Government recommendations or guidelines to undertake this scheme (including any legislative Health and Safety requirements etc) and what would be the consequence of not doing the scheme

None

9 Link to the Council's Ambitions

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area

10 Performance Management

Young people will have a safe environment within which to play

11 In which ways would this scheme improve the efficiency or value for money of the service?

Parks and play areas are seen by the public as a key area of the council's services as evidenced by results of the Citizen Panel Surveys

12 Please provide details of any consultation that has taken place with local people, partners, staff or any other stakeholders with regard to this scheme.

Consultation takes place with groups and interested parties in relation to most pieces of work undertaken

13 Please detail the measures that would be put in place to minimise the impact that this scheme will have on the environment.

Scheme will improve the environment

14 Risk Assessment – Please detail any risks that you envisage and how they would be mitigated

Political: Other priorities may mean money spent on other projects

Economic: Funding may reduce

Sociological: Likely to be greater demand for good quality well maintained recreational facilities

Technological: Improved equipment will no doubt emerge over time

Legal: If maintenance is not done there is likely to be increased legal action against the council

Environmental: Money will be spent on improving the environment



1 Capital Scheme Title

Football pitches drainage and improvement works
(submitted by: John Heap)

2 Brief Description of the Scheme

To install positive subsoil drainage systems under the football pitches and carry out turf surface improvement works.

3 Financial Implications – CAPITAL

	2011/2012 £	2012/2013 £	2013/2014 £	2014/2015 £	2015/2016 £
Scheme Cost	10,000				

4 Financial Implications - REVENUE

Annual Revenue Implications	A small amount of income (£250 pa)
------------------------------------	------------------------------------

5 Please indicate the start date and duration of the project including key milestones.

May 2011 for a period of 3 months.

6 If this is a new asset, what is the expected useful economic life of the new asset? If this is a refurbishment scheme what is the current expected useful economic life of the asset, and by how long does this bid increase the functional lifespan?

Ten years

7 Please detail any additional information in support of your bid

The previous capital improvement works programme was suspended 4 years ago and not reinstated.

8 Are there any Government recommendations or guidelines to undertake this scheme (including any legislative Health and Safety requirements etc) and what would be the consequence of not doing the scheme

If work of this nature is not done the quality of the sports facilities will deteriorate over time.

9 Link to the Council's Ambitions

To make peoples lives safer and healthier.

10 Performance Management

Pitches will be of better quality and can be used more frequently.

11 In which ways would this scheme improve the efficiency or value for money of the service?

Those customers paying to use the facilities will have better quality facilities to play on, find them better value for money, which will sustain income streams.

12 Please provide details of any consultation that has taken place with local people, partners, staff or any other stakeholders with regard to this scheme.

The local football club has expressed its concerns regarding the condition of the pitches and the need to carry out drainage and surface improvement works.

13 Please detail the measures that would be put in place to minimise the impact that this scheme will have on the environment.

Not applicable.

14 Risk Assessment – Please detail any risks that you envisage and how they would be mitigated

Political: None.

Economic: None.

Sociological: Greater emphasis may be placed on playing sports and healthy lifestyles.

Technological: New technologies may be developed over time.

Legal: None.

Environmental: None.



1 Capital Scheme Title

Car parks rolling programme 2011/12
(submitted by: John Heap)

2 Brief Description of the Scheme

To continue to improve the Councils off –street car parks so as to ensure the sites are safe, clean and fit for purpose

3 Financial Implications – CAPITAL

	2011/2012 £	2012/2013 £	2013/2014 £	2014/2015 £	2015/2016 £
Scheme Cost	40,000				

4 Financial Implications - REVENUE

Annual Revenue Implications	None identified
------------------------------------	-----------------

5 Please indicate the start date and duration of the project including key milestones.

This is part of a rolling programme of work which will start in 2011/12

6 If this is a new asset, what is the expected useful economic life of the new asset? If this is a refurbishment scheme what is the current expected useful economic life of the asset, and by how long does this bid increase the functional lifespan?

Fifteen years.

7 Please detail any additional information in support of your bid

Ongoing programme of improvement work.

8 Are there any Government recommendations or guidelines to undertake this scheme (including any legislative Health and Safety requirements etc) and what would be the consequence of not doing the scheme

There are recommended standards of design for car parks and they must be made safe for those who use them.

9 Link to the Council's Ambitions

To make peoples lives safer and healthier.

10 Performance Management

Not applicable.

11 In which ways would this scheme improve the efficiency or value for money of the service?

Not applicable.

12 Please provide details of any consultation that has taken place with local people, partners, staff or any other stakeholders with regard to this scheme.

None at this stage.

13 Please detail the measures that would be put in place to minimise the impact that this scheme will have on the environment.

Work will improve the local environment.

14 Risk Assessment – Please detail any risks that you envisage and how they would be mitigated

Political: Car parking supports the concept of vibrant towns and villages.

Economic: An increase in the use of public transport may mean a decline in the use of car parks.

Sociological: None.

Technological: None.

Legal: None.

Environmental: Greater pressure to reduce car usage may have an impact on car parking charges



1 Capital Scheme Title

Replace Refuse Collection Vehicle
(submitted by John Heap:)

2 Brief Description of the Scheme

To replace refuse collection and recycling vehicle

3 Financial Implications – CAPITAL

	2011/2012 £	2012/2013 £	2013/2014 £	2014/2015 £	2015/2016 £
Scheme Cost	200,000				

4 Financial Implications - REVENUE

Annual Revenue Implications None identified

5 Please indicate the start date and duration of the project including key milestones.

Order in April 2011 for delivery six months later.

6 If this is a new asset, what is the expected useful economic life of the new asset? If this is a refurbishment scheme what is the current expected useful economic life of the asset, and by how long does this bid increase the functional lifespan?

The replacement vehicle should have a life expectancy of seven years.

7 Please detail any additional information in support of your bid

Part of a rolling replacement programme

8 Are there any Government recommendations or guidelines to undertake this scheme (including any legislative Health and Safety requirements etc) and what would be the consequence of not doing the scheme

Failure to replace the vehicle would increase the possibility of failing to provide the service due to breakdowns.

9 Link to the Council's Ambitions

To protect and enhance the existing environmental quality of our area.

10 Performance Management

11 In which ways would this scheme improve the efficiency or value for money of the service?

The replacement would help continue to provide an efficient and effective refuse collection and recycling service.

12 Please provide details of any consultation that has taken place with local people, partners, staff or any other stakeholders with regard to this scheme.

None.

13 Please detail the measures that would be put in place to minimise the impact that this scheme will have on the environment.

New vehicles will be more environmentally friendly as engine performance improves over time and emissions are reduced.

14 Risk Assessment – Please detail any risks that you envisage and how they would be mitigated

Political: Greater emphasis may be placed on the need to recycle

Economic: Prices of materials recycled will vary on the global market

Sociological: Increased desire from the public for recycling

Technological: Vehicle technology will change over time.

Legal: May be legislative change which affects the service.

Environmental: Increased emphasis likely to be placed on recycling over time.